

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING  
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL ITS OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE  
NO. 27 TO EXCLUDE PROF.  
HESSELINK'S SAVED DEVELOPMENT  
TIME OPINIONS**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal  
 2 (“Waymo’s Administrative Motion”) its Opposition to Defendants’ Motion in Limine to Exclude  
 3 Prof. Hesselink’s Saved Development Time Opinions (“Waymo’s Opposition”).

4 Having considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been  
 5 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the  
 6 documents listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal
Waymo’s Opposition	Highlighted portions
Exhibit 2 to Waymo’s Opposition	Entire document
Exhibit 3 to Waymo’s Opposition	Highlighted portions
Exhibit 4 to Waymo’s Opposition	Entire document
Exhibit 5 to Waymo’s Opposition	Entire document
Exhibit 7 to Waymo’s Opposition	Entire document
Exhibit 8 to Waymo’s Opposition	Entire document

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2017

15 \_\_\_\_\_  
 16 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP  
 17 United States District Court Judge  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28